

# Local Road Dilapidation Report Sydney City Council

Project Name: WestConnex New M5

Project number:	15.7020.2597
Document number:	M5N-CN-RPT-PWD-0005
Revision date:	09/05/2016
Revision:	00

## Document Approval

Rev.	Date	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Recommended by	Approved by	Remarks
00	09/05/16	Haris Chandrasiri	Tim Cunningham		T Orpen	
Signature:						

## Details of Revision Amendments

### Document Control

The Project Director is responsible for ensuring that this Report is reviewed and approved. The Construction Manager (Project Wide) is responsible for updating this Report to reflect changes to the Project, legal and other requirements, as required.

### Amendments

Any revisions or amendments must be approved by the Project Director before being distributed or implemented.

### Revision Details

Revision	Details
00	Prepared for internal review

# Local Road Dilapidation Report – Sydney City Council



## Contents

- 1. Introduction ..... 4
- 2. Dilapidation survey of Local Roads within the control of Sydney City Council ..... 5
  - 2.1 Group 1- Local Roads that will be utilised for heavy and oversized vehicles ..... 5
  - 2.2 Group 2 - Local roads within 50m of the construction works but are not utilised for construction traffic. 6
- Attachment 1 – Pre-construction Road Condition Report for WestConnex New M5 Main Works Project ..... 7
- Attachment 2 - Clause 3.2 Pavement Repairs of SWTC Appendix C.6 Local Road Maintenance during Project Company’s Work ..... 9

11

# Local Road Dilapidation Report – Sydney City Council



## 1. Introduction

The CPB Contractors, Dragados and Samsung Joint Venture (CDS-JV) has been selected to deliver the New M5 from St Peters to Beverly Hills.

Condition B59 of the Minister's Condition of Approval (MCoA) requires CDS-JV to determine the access route(s) for heavy and oversized vehicles associated with the construction of the New M5 and site establishment works and prepare a Local Road Dilapidation Report for those local roads within the control of the relevant councils that would be utilised.

In addition, Clause 4.3 of Exhibit A of the SWTC requires CDS-JV to undertake ground and infrastructure condition surveys of all existing infrastructure (including local roads) within 50 meters of the Project Site and Temporary Areas and within a surface corridor which, when viewed in plan, has boundaries set a minimum of 50 meters beyond all excavations.

This is the dilapidation report of the local roads within the control of Sydney City Council.

# Local Road Dilapidation Report – Sydney City Council



## 2. Dilapidation survey of Local Roads within the control of Sydney City Council

Local Roads within the control of Sydney City Council that require dilapidation survey falls in to two groups;

1. Group 1- Local roads that will be utilised for heavy and oversized vehicles associated with the construction of the New M5 (**Condition B59 of MCoA**)
2. Group 2- Local roads within 50m of the construction works but are not utilised for construction traffic (**Clause 4.3 of Exhibit A of the SWTC**)

### 2.1 Group 1- Local Roads that will be utilised for heavy and oversized vehicles

Table 1 below shows the increase in traffic volumes on the local roads that will be utilised for heavy and oversized vehicles associated with the construction of the WestConnex New M5.

Street	From	To	Length (m)
* Canal Rd	Princes Highway	Burrows Rd	550
* Ricketty St	Burrows Rd	Kent rd	600
* Burrows Rd	Canal Rd	Huntley St	1,800
* Bourke Rd	Maddox Rd	start of Bunnings, Mascot	1,300
* Huntley St	Euston Rd	Burrows Rd	450
* Kent Rd	Coward St	Gardeners Rd	450

\* Roads in Local Areas to be maintained by the Project Company

**Table 1**

As per the Condition B59 of Minister's Condition of Approval the Local Road Dilapidation Report must assess the current condition of the road and describe mechanism to restore any damage that may result due to its use by traffic and transport related to the construction of the WestConnex New M5.

Local Road Dilapidation survey was undertaken by Australian Road Research Board (ARRB) and dilapidation survey results and the assessment of the current condition of the local roads is described in the report Pre-construction Road Condition Report WestConnex New M5 Main Works Project by ARRB (Attachment 1).

Mechanisms to restore any damage that may result due to its use by traffic and transport related to the construction of the project

The local roads listed in Table 1 will be maintained by CPBDS-JV during CPBDS-JV's Work as required by 'Appendix C.6 Local Road Maintenance during Project Company's Work'. Any damage that may result due to construction traffic will be restored during the road maintenance work by CPBDS-JV.

# Local Road Dilapidation Report – Sydney City Council



## 2.2 Group 2 - Local roads within 50m of the construction works but are not utilised for construction traffic

Table 2 below shows the local roads within 50m of the construction works but that will not be utilised for project construction traffic. Assessment of the current condition of the local roads is described in the report Pre-construction Road Condition Report WestConnex New M5 Main Works Project by ARRB (Attachment 1).

A post-construction dilapidation report will be prepared within 4 weeks of the completion of construction. The post-construction dilapidation report will be compared with the pre-construction dilapidation report and any changes in the road condition will be recorded. Any damage that may have resulted due to construction of the Project Company's Work will be assessed and repairs undertaken based on the geotechnical instrumentation and monitoring data, primarily vertical and horizontal ground movement data, in conjunction with the dilapidations reports.

Street	From	To	Length (m)	Suburb
Harber St	Campbell St	50m length	50	St Peters
Mitchell Rd	Sydney Park Drive	60m length	60	Erskineville
Barwon Park Rd	Campbell St	Princes Highway	450	St Peters

**Table 2**

---

# Local Road Dilapidation Report – Sydney City Council



## Attachment 1 – Pre-construction Road Condition Report for WestConnex New M5 Main Works Project

---

# Local Road Dilapidation Report – Sydney City Council





Pre-Construction Road Condition  
Report for WestConnex New M5 Main  
Works Project – Sydney City Council

for CDS JV



## SUMMARY

ARRB was commissioned by CDS JV (CPB Contractors Pty Limited, Dragados Australia Pty Ltd, and Samsung C&T Corporation Joint Venture) to survey and assess the current condition of the nominated roads associated with the construction of the WestConnex New M5 Main Works project.

A surface condition survey of the road network was conducted by ARRB in April 2016 to collect condition distresses including rutting, roughness, texture and cracking information.

The scope of the main report includes:

- collection and processing of pavement condition data into various data categories including roughness (IRI m/km), rut depth (mm), texture depth (mm) and cracking (% area).
- preparation of a report evaluating the overall condition of each road by direction and lane for each of the road authorities involved in the study area.

The study area involves several road authorities and the road conditions are reported in the below road groups for each organisation where applicable.

- Group 1 – Local roads that will be utilised for heavy and oversized vehicles
- Group 2 - Local roads within 50m of the construction works but are not utilised for construction traffic
- Group 3 - Non-haulage maintenance roads
- RMS- Arterial roads within 50m buffer zone

Condition assessments presented are based on current industry practices for the purpose of dilapidation rather than a customised local condition assessment. It should be noted that the condition statement could vary depending on the definition. See Section 1.3 for details.

The results of the condition assessment are presented separately for each road agency.

This report is an extraction from the main report of the sections relevant for Sydney city Council.

### Sydney City Council

Condition assessments (based on current industry standards) are represented based on the average condition of road sections owned by Sydney City Council.

#### Group 1 roads:

	IRI group	Rut group	Texture group	Cracking group
BOURKE RD_C_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
BOURKE RD_P_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Good
BURROWS RD_C_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
BURROWS RD_P_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
CANAL RD_C_1	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
CANAL RD_C_2	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
CANAL RD_C_3	Good	Fair	Fair	Good
CANAL RD_P_1	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
CANAL RD_P_2	Good	Fair	Fair	Very good

HUNTLEY ST_C_1	Good	Good	Poor	Very good
HUNTLEY ST_P_1	Good	Good	Poor	Good
KENT RD_C_1	Good	Fair	Fair	Very good
KENT RD_C_2	Good	Fair	Fair	Very good
KENT RD_P_1	Fair	Fair	Fair	Very good
KENT RD_P_2	Fair	Fair	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_C_1	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_C_2	Fair	Good	Poor	Very good
RICKETTY ST_C_3	Fair	Fair	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_P_1	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_P_2	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_P_3	Good	Good	Fair	Very good

**Group 2 roads:**

	IRI group	Rut group	Texture group	Cracking group
BARWON PARK RD_C_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
BARWON PARK RD_P_1	Fair	Good	Fair	Very good
HARBER ST_P_1	Very poor	Good	Poor	Very good
MITCHELL RD_C_1	Good	Fair	Poor	Very good
MITCHELL RD_C_2	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
MITCHELL RD_P_1	Good	Fair	Poor	Very good
MITCHELL RD_P_2	Fair	Good	Fair	Very good

**Group 3 roads:**

	IRI group	Rut group	Texture group	Cracking group
CHURCH AV_P_1	Very poor	Good	Fair	Good
GARDENERS RD - WESTBOUND_C_1	Good	Good	Poor	Very good
GARDENERS RD - WESTBOUND_P_1	Good	Good	Poor	Very good
MADDOX ST_C_1	Poor	Fair	Fair	Very good
MADDOX ST_P_1	Poor	Good	Fair	Very good

# CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1	General .....	6
1.2	Condition Survey .....	6
1.3	Assumptions for levels of services .....	7
1.4	Scope .....	7
<b>2</b>	<b>SYDNEY CITY COUNCIL .....</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Rut Depth .....	11
2.2	Roughness .....	13
2.3	Texture Depth.....	16
2.4	Cracking .....	18
<b>3</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>SURVEY RESULTS .....</b>	<b>24</b>
	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>25</b>

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 General

ARRB was commissioned by CDS JV (CPB Contractors Pty Limited, Dragados Australia Pty Ltd, and Samsung C&T Corporation Joint Venture) to survey and assess the current condition of the nominated roads associated with the construction of the WestConnex New M5 Main Works project.

Pavement surface condition survey of the road network was undertaken by ARRB in April 2016 to collect surface condition distresses including rutting, roughness, cracking and texture depth. The survey scope included a series of roads managed by several organisations and the pre and post construction condition report is presented for these roads.

The study area involves several road authorities. The road condition is reported in separate road groups for each organisation where applicable.

- Group 1 – Local roads that will be utilised for heavy and oversized vehicles
- Group 2 - Local roads within 50m of the construction works but are not utilised for construction traffic
- Group 3 - Non-haulage maintenance roads
- RMS- Arterial roads within 50m buffer zone

The report summarises the current surface condition of the affected road sections by lane.

The following sections address road conditions for Sydney City Council.

## 1.2 Condition Survey

ARRB used Hawkeye 2000 survey vehicle to capture surface condition data of the road pavement network including:

- rut depth (inner, outer and lane)
- roughness (IRI, NAASRA)
- surface texture including sand patch texture depth (SPTD) and sensor measured texture depth (SMTD) for outer and between wheel paths.
- Surface condition including cracking data and other surface defects.



Source: ARRB Group Ltd Figure

Figure 1.1: Network survey vehicle (NSV)

### 1.3 Assumptions for levels of services

To help communicate between engineers and management teams, condition data is further grouped into “Very Good”, “Good”, “Fair”, “Poor”, and “Very poor” based on current industry practices, see Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Current industry Level of services

Class name	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very poor
Rut depth (mm) range	0–2.5	2.5–5	5–10	10–15	>15
Roughness (IRI) range	0–1.5	1.5–3.0	3.0–4.2	4.2–5.33	>5.33
Texture range (mm)	>1.2	1.2–0.8	0.8–0.4	0.4–0.2	0.2–0
Cracking (%) range	0–5	5-10	10-15	15-20	>20

### 1.4 Scope

The scope of the report is as follows:

- collection and processing of pavement condition data including roughness (IRI m/km), rut depth (mm), cracking (%) and texture depth (mm)
- preparation of a report evaluating the current condition of the road and describe outstanding defects in terms of rutting, roughness, texture depth and cracking

## 2 SYDNEY CITY COUNCIL

Table 2.1, Table 2.2 and Table 2.3 show the average condition of IRI, rutting, texture depth and cracking for the nominated roads of reporting for the SCC (Sydney City Council) in terms of Group 1, Group 2 and Group 3 road. Table 2.4, Table 2.5 and Table 2.6 present the worst condition on each of the selected road sections. The definition of groups is shown below:

- Group 1 – Local Roads that will be utilised for heavy and oversized vehicles
- Group 2 - Local roads within 50m of the construction works but are not utilised for construction traffic
- Group 3 - Non-haulage maintenance roads

**Table 2.1: Average road network condition- SCC- Group 1 road**

	IRI (m/km)	Rut (mm)	Texture depth (mm)	Cracking (%)
BOURKE RD_C_1	3.19	5.98	0.37	1.22
BOURKE RD_P_1	3.82	5.48	0.34	7.94
BURROWS RD_C_1	4.05	5.75	0.38	2.60
BURROWS RD_P_1	3.84	6.08	0.40	1.17
CANAL RD_C_1	1.56	4.29	0.45	1.80
CANAL RD_C_2	2.00	4.71	0.46	1.80
CANAL RD_C_3	2.21	5.34	0.50	6.48
CANAL RD_P_1	2.14	3.32	0.46	1.53
CANAL RD_P_2	2.61	5.88	0.48	0.00
HUNTLEY ST_C_1	2.38	4.84	0.41	2.59
HUNTLEY ST_P_1	1.98	4.09	0.40	6.29
KENT RD_C_1	2.94	6.69	0.43	4.93
KENT RD_C_2	2.49	5.57	0.42	1.60
KENT RD_P_1	3.22	6.62	0.43	1.13
KENT RD_P_2	3.17	8.47	0.43	0.00
RICKETTY ST_C_1	2.45	3.80	0.44	1.78
RICKETTY ST_C_2	3.13	4.83	0.40	3.26
RICKETTY ST_C_3	3.24	5.42	0.44	2.52
RICKETTY ST_P_1	2.22	5.00	0.47	3.83
RICKETTY ST_P_2	2.47	4.40	0.47	0.00
RICKETTY ST_P_3	2.47	4.57	0.49	0.00

**Table 2.2: Average road network condition- SCC- Group 2 road**

	IRI (m/km)	Rut (mm)	Texture depth (mm)	Cracking (%)
BARWON PARK RD_C_1	3.04	5.55	0.30	4.49
BARWON PARK RD_P_1	3.94	4.39	0.43	4.53
HARBER ST_P_1	5.52	4.76	0.37	0.00



MITCHELL RD_C_1	2.88	7.48	0.36	4.00
MITCHELL RD_C_2	3.62	5.85	0.41	1.00
MITCHELL RD_P_1	1.99	5.38	0.36	0.50
MITCHELL RD_P_2	3.57	4.21	0.43	0.00

**Table 2.3: Average road network condition- SCC- Group 3 road**

	IRI (m/km)	Rut (mm)	Texture depth (mm)	Cracking (%)
CHURCH AV_P_1	6.43	2.82	0.47	9.56
GARDENERS RD - WESTBOUND_C_1	1.60	3.33	0.31	0.00
GARDENERS RD - WESTBOUND_P_1	1.98	3.43	0.32	4.98
MADDOX ST_C_1	4.48	5.68	0.43	4.23
MADDOX ST_P_1	4.54	4.82	0.44	0.91

**Table 2.4: Worst condition on the network- SCC- Group 1 road**

	IRI (m/km)	Rut (mm)	Texture depth (mm)	Cracking (%)
BOURKE RD_C_1	4.26	9.38	0.27	11.93
BOURKE RD_P_1	6.58	8.66	0.27	31.29
BURROWS RD_C_1	7.42	9.21	0.26	11.08
BURROWS RD_P_1	6.02	9.12	0.26	11.25
CANAL RD_C_1	2.07	6.62	0.37	3.00
CANAL RD_C_2	2.42	6.64	0.38	4.30
CANAL RD_C_3	3.59	7.24	0.38	10.60
CANAL RD_P_1	3.22	4.05	0.40	3.33
CANAL RD_P_2	4.49	6.99	0.40	0.00
HUNTLEY ST_C_1	3.58	12.10	0.35	5.15
HUNTLEY ST_P_1	3.67	9.05	0.33	17.60
KENT RD_C_1	4.09	9.09	0.36	11.50
KENT RD_C_2	3.80	8.65	0.31	3.00
KENT RD_P_1	5.76	10.15	0.36	4.64
KENT RD_P_2	4.46	15.04	0.29	0.00
RICKETTY ST_C_1	3.82	4.65	0.32	7.10
RICKETTY ST_C_2	3.59	6.92	0.34	9.80
RICKETTY ST_C_3	3.66	8.89	0.36	8.10
RICKETTY ST_P_1	2.88	6.37	0.36	6.30
RICKETTY ST_P_2	3.54	6.53	0.36	0.00
RICKETTY ST_P_3	3.81	6.77	0.36	0.00

Table 2.5: Worst condition on the network- SCC- Group 2 road

	IRI (m/km)	Rut (mm)	Texture depth (mm)	Cracking (%)
BARWON PARK RD_C_1	4.42	6.95	0.28	7.25
BARWON PARK RD_P_1	4.61	5.45	0.39	7.00
HARBER ST_P_1	5.52	4.76	0.37	0.00
MITCHELL RD_C_1	2.88	7.48	0.36	4.00
MITCHELL RD_C_2	3.62	5.85	0.41	1.00
MITCHELL RD_P_1	1.99	5.38	0.36	0.50
MITCHELL RD_P_2	3.57	4.21	0.43	0.00

Table 2.6: Worst condition on the network- SCC- Group 3 road

	IRI (m/km)	Rut (mm)	Texture depth (mm)	Cracking (%)
CHURCH AV_P_1	7.71	3.45	0.42	19.00
GARDENERS RD - WESTBOUND_C_1	1.80	4.05	0.28	0.00
GARDENERS RD - WESTBOUND_P_1	2.09	3.76	0.31	8.10
MADDOX ST_C_1	5.95	6.54	0.32	7.50
MADDOX ST_P_1	7.49	5.69	0.35	4.25

According to the definition shown above (Section 1.3 ), the following condition statement is presented for each of the surveyed road. It should be noted that the condition statement could vary depending on the definition. The following condition categories are for the purpose of the pre and post construction comparison rather than a customised local condition assessment.

Table 2.7: Categories of condition- SCC- Group 1 road

	IRI group	Rut group	Texture group	Cracking group
BOURKE RD_C_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
BOURKE RD_P_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Good
BURROWS RD_C_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
BURROWS RD_P_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
CANAL RD_C_1	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
CANAL RD_C_2	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
CANAL RD_C_3	Good	Fair	Fair	Good
CANAL RD_P_1	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
CANAL RD_P_2	Good	Fair	Fair	Very good
HUNTLEY ST_C_1	Good	Good	Poor	Very good
HUNTLEY ST_P_1	Good	Good	Poor	Good
KENT RD_C_1	Good	Fair	Fair	Very good
KENT RD_C_2	Good	Fair	Fair	Very good
KENT RD_P_1	Fair	Fair	Fair	Very good

KENT RD_P_2	Fair	Fair	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_C_1	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_C_2	Fair	Good	Poor	Very good
RICKETTY ST_C_3	Fair	Fair	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_P_1	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_P_2	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_P_3	Good	Good	Fair	Very good

Table 2.8: Categories of condition- SCC- Group 2 road

	IRI group	Rut group	Texture group	Cracking group
BARWON PARK RD_C_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
BARWON PARK RD_P_1	Fair	Good	Fair	Very good
HARBER ST_P_1	Very poor	Good	Poor	Very good
MITCHELL RD_C_1	Good	Fair	Poor	Very good
MITCHELL RD_C_2	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
MITCHELL RD_P_1	Good	Fair	Poor	Very good
MITCHELL RD_P_2	Fair	Good	Fair	Very good

Table 2.9: Categories of condition- SCC- Group 3 road

	IRI group	Rut group	Texture group	Cracking group
CHURCH AV_P_1	Very poor	Good	Fair	Good
GARDENERS RD - WESTBOUND_C_1	Good	Good	Poor	Very good
GARDENERS RD - WESTBOUND_P_1	Good	Good	Poor	Very good
MADDOX ST_C_1	Poor	Fair	Fair	Very good
MADDOX ST_P_1	Poor	Good	Fair	Very good

Each of the individual physical parameter (rut depth, roughness, texture depth and cracking) is described separately in the following sections.

## 2.1 Rut Depth

A rut is a pavement defect in the form of a longitudinal depression of the surface, usually in a wheel path (Austroads 2006b).

Rutting is considered as one of the most critical parameters on bituminous pavements in urban environments, as it reflects the deformation of the pavement. Rutting also has implications for road safety due to the potential for water ponding and subsequent loss of skid resistance.

The deformation (rutting), of the asphalt may be functional or structural distress, depending on the pavement's base. Signalised intersections with asphalt pavements are particularly prone to rutting under heavy traffic.

For the current project, rutting data is collected using a 13-point laser system, which measures a 2-metre transverse profile across the lane. A full transverse profile is measured every 20 mm of longitudinal travel and the processing software allows both lane and wheel path rutting to be measured using the string line and straight edge model.

Figure 2.1, Figure 2.2 and Figure 2.3 present the average rutting of each lane and the majority of the road sections fall in the category of 'good' or 'fair' according to the condition definition (Section 1.3). Some sections are presenting over 10mm localised rutting, such as HUNTLEY ST\_C\_1, KENT RD\_P\_1 and KENT RD\_P\_2.




Figure 2.1: Rutting condition- SCC- Group 1 road




Figure 2.2: Rutting condition- SCC- Group 2 road




Figure 2.3: Rutting condition- SCC- Group 3 road

## 2.2 Roughness

Roughness is considered as an important indicator of driver comfort and its change is accepted as an indicator of condition deterioration. Roughness data is presented as the International Roughness Index (IRI), the average of the left and right wheel path values for the surveyed lanes. Austroads has endorsed the International Roughness Index (IRI) as the reporting unit for road roughness in Australasia (Austroads 2006a).

Figure 2.4, Figure 2.5 and Figure 2.6 show the average roughness condition of each survey road. Most road sections can be considered 'good or 'fair', except HARBER ST\_P\_1, CHURCH AV\_P\_1, MADDOX ST\_C\_1, and MADDOX ST\_P\_1.




Figure 2.4: Roughness condition- SCC- Group 1 road




Figure 2.5: Roughness condition- SCC- Group 2 road




Figure 2.6: Roughness condition- SCC- Group 3 road

## 2.3 Texture Depth

Texture depth refers to the amplitude of deviations from the surface plane of the road and is influenced by the size, shape and spacing of the aggregate of the surfacing material.

Texture is an important contributor to safety, as adequate texture depth is required to maintain skid resistance, particularly under wet conditions. On bituminous surfaces, it may indicate the loss of texture or appearance of bitumen on the surface. Both the outer (where trafficking is greatest) and inner wheel paths (where trafficking is minimal) were measured. It should be noted that a comparison of both could indicate texture loss which should be monitored against future measurements to determine the rate and extent of deterioration. In the analysis, texture was taken from the survey data as the minimum of SMTD (mm) of the left wheel path and right wheel path.

Average texture depth for Group 1, Group 2 and Group 3 are presented in Figure 2.7, Figure 2.8 and Figure 2.9. Approximately half of the road sections are considered 'poor' and the rest categorised as 'fair'.




Figure 2.7: Texture depth- SCC- Group 1 road






Figure 2.8: Texture depth- SCC- Group 2 road




Figure 2.9: Texture depth- SCC- Group 3 road

## 2.4 Cracking

A crack is an unplanned break or discontinuity in the integrity of the pavement surface, usually a narrow opening or partial fracture, often indicating vertical splitting of the pavement, not necessarily extending through the entire thickness of a course or pavement (Austroads 2006C).

Cracks may be linear (transverse or longitudinal), interconnected (crocodile or block), or irregular, single and isolated or in groups, with varying spacing between them. Once cracking is initiated, the potential is much greater for accelerated deterioration of the pavement (Austroads 2006C).

For the current project, cracking data was collected using an Automatic Crack Detection system fitted to the ARRB NSV, which measures and classifies different types of cracking, their extent, severity etc. While analysing the network condition, percent of area cracked, which is an aggregation of values for all types of cracking was used as a reference for analysis from the surveyed data.

Figure 2.10, Figure 2.11 and Figure 2.12 present average cracking of Group 1, Group 2 and Group 3 road. The majority of road sections can be considered 'very good' in terms of cracking. Severe local cracking is found on BOURKE RD\_P\_1 (31% cracked area) and CHURCH AV\_P\_1 (19% cracked area).




Figure 2.10: Cracking condition- SCC- Group 1 road




Figure 2.11: Cracking condition- SCC- Group 2 road




Figure 2.12: Cracking condition- SCC- Group 3 road



### 3 CONCLUSIONS

ARRB was commissioned by CDS JV (CPB Contractors Pty Limited, Dragados Australia Pty Ltd, and Samsung C&T Corporation Joint Venture) to survey and assess the current condition of the nominated roads associated with the construction of the WestConnex New M5 Main Works project.

Surface condition survey of the road network was conducted by ARRB in April 2016 to collect surface condition distresses including rutting, roughness, texture, cracking information.

The scope of the report includes:

- collection and processing of pavement condition data into various data categories including roughness (IRI m/km), rut depth (mm), texture depth (mm) and cracking (% area).
- preparation of a report evaluating the overall condition of each road by direction and lane for each of the road authorities involved in the study area.

The study area involves several road authorities and the conditions were reported in road groups for each organisation, where applicable, as per the below.

- Group 1 - Local Roads that will be utilised for heavy and oversized vehicles
- Group 2 - Local roads within 50m of the construction works but are not utilised for construction traffic
- Group 3 - Non-haulage maintenance roads
- RMS- Arterial roads within 50m buffer zone

Condition assessments were presented based on current industry practices for the purpose of dilapidation, rather than a customised local condition assessment. Please be mindful that the condition statement could vary depending on the definition. See Section 1.3.

The findings from the condition assessments are presented for Sydney City Council.

#### Sydney City Council

Condition assessment based on current industry standards, presented utilising the average condition of road sections owned by Sydney City Council.

#### Group 1 road:

	IRI group	Rut group	Texture group	Cracking group
BOURKE RD_C_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
BOURKE RD_P_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Good
BURROWS RD_C_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
BURROWS RD_P_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
CANAL RD_C_1	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
CANAL RD_C_2	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
CANAL RD_C_3	Good	Fair	Fair	Good
CANAL RD_P_1	Good	Good	Fair	Very good

CANAL RD_P_2	Good	Fair	Fair	Very good
HUNTLEY ST_C_1	Good	Good	Poor	Very good
HUNTLEY ST_P_1	Good	Good	Poor	Good
KENT RD_C_1	Good	Fair	Fair	Very good
KENT RD_C_2	Good	Fair	Fair	Very good
KENT RD_P_1	Fair	Fair	Fair	Very good
KENT RD_P_2	Fair	Fair	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_C_1	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_C_2	Fair	Good	Poor	Very good
RICKETTY ST_C_3	Fair	Fair	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_P_1	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_P_2	Good	Good	Fair	Very good
RICKETTY ST_P_3	Good	Good	Fair	Very good

**Group 2 road:**

	IRI group	Rut group	Texture group	Cracking group
BARWON PARK RD_C_1	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
BARWON PARK RD_P_1	Fair	Good	Fair	Very good
HARBER ST_P_1	Very poor	Good	Poor	Very good
MITCHELL RD_C_1	Good	Fair	Poor	Very good
MITCHELL RD_C_2	Fair	Fair	Poor	Very good
MITCHELL RD_P_1	Good	Fair	Poor	Very good
MITCHELL RD_P_2	Fair	Good	Fair	Very good

**Group 3 road:**

	IRI group	Rut group	Texture group	Cracking group
CHURCH AV_P_1	Very poor	Good	Fair	Good
GARDENERS RD - WESTBOUND_C_1	Good	Good	Poor	Very good
GARDENERS RD - WESTBOUND_P_1	Good	Good	Poor	Very good
MADDOX ST_C_1	Poor	Fair	Fair	Very good
MADDOX ST_P_1	Poor	Good	Fair	Very good

## 4 SURVEY RESULTS

Surface condition survey processed data results are supplied to CDS JV separately in electronic format. The file names and contents are as follows (Table 4.1):

Table 4.1: Files with survey results

File Name	Content
PSS16084 - NSV_MS_WestConnex Laser Data	Roughness, rut depth and Texture depth data
PSS16084 - NSV_MS_WestConnex Visual Assessment	Cracking and other surface defects data



## REFERENCES

Austrroads 2006a, *Guide to Asset Management Part 5B: Roughness*, AGAM05B/07, Austrroads, Sydney, NSW.

Austrroads 2006b, *Guide to Asset Management Part 5C: Rutting*, AGAM05C/07, Austrroads, Sydney, NSW

Austrroads 2006C, *Guide to Asset Management Part 5C: Cracking*, AGAM05E/07, Austrroads, Sydney, NSW.



---

# Local Road Dilapidation Report – Sydney City Council



## Attachment 2 - Clause 3.2 Pavement Repairs of SWTC Appendix C.6 Local Road Maintenance during Project Company's Work

---

# Local Road Dilapidation Report – Sydney City Council



# Local Road Dilapidation Report – Sydney City Council



## 3.2 Pavement Repairs

Element	Requirement
1.Safe conditions	Repair to ensure road remains open to traffic providing safe conditions under the prevailing weather conditions, traffic volume, and speed zone.
2.Integrity of materials	All repairs, unless otherwise specified, shall comprise materials that are compatible with, or of better quality than the existing pavement. Asphalt may be used to alleviate stepping at joint.
3.Unsound material	For permanent repairs, the Project Company must remove enough of the underlying unsound material to ensure sound repair is achieved.
4.Compaction	Compaction shall achieve a uniformly dense, free from segregation and well bonded repair sufficient to ensure that it is not displaced, shoved, deformed, or picked up by traffic.
5.Ride quality	The deviation both within the repair and between the existing pavement and the repair when measured with a 1.5 m straight edge shall not be greater than 10 mm with a maximum surface level difference of 5 mm at the perimeter of the repair
6.Surface Finish	The surface shall provide a uniform water resistance layer to protect the pavement layers from surface infiltration of moisture. The skid resistance of the surface shall not be lower than that apparent immediately in front of and beyond the work area. Where surfacing aggregate is used it shall remain proud of the binder so that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ binder is not picked up by the tyres of traffic, and</li> <li>▪ the surface repair shall have no exposed bituminous material.</li> </ul>
7.Lateral drainage	Ensure completed repair does not adversely affect lateral drainage across shoulder.

11 of 21

### Code of Maintenance Standards for Service Centre Access Roads

Element	Requirement
8.Excess material	Excavated material and debris shall not be left on the roadside or placed so as to impede surface drainage. Excess material shall be swept from the traffic lanes and bicycle lanes and disposed of legally and responsibly at the Project Company's expense.
9.Avoid damage to existing surface	Repair material and binding agents used shall not cause damage to the integrity of the existing bituminous surfacing.

